

Ei		; ·	RECEIVED - FEDERAL ELECTION - COMMISSION
1	FEDERAL E	LECTION COMMISSION	
2		99 E Street, NW	2011 JUN 20 PM 4: 24
3		hington, DC 20463	2011 3017 20 111 1 2 1
4		.	- CELA
5	FIRST GENE	RAL COUNSEL'S REPOR	RT CELM
6			
7 8		MUR 6425	
		DATE RECEIVED: November 8, 2010	
9		DATE ACTIVATED: N	March 22, 2011
10		DARL MOTION OF D	. 1 1. 0014
1.1 12	EARLIEST SOL: December 1, 2014 LATEST SOL: November 2, 2015		
13	•	LAIESI SUL: Novemi	Der 2, 2015
14	COMPLAINANT:	Russ Carnahan	
15		Auss Callanan	
16	RESPONDENTS:	Ed Martin	
17		Ed Martin for Congress	and Randall J. McArthur,
18		· in his official car	
19		SaveAB.com for Americ	ca
20		011000101	
21 23	RELEVANT STATUTES:	2 U.S.C. § 434	
23		2 U.S.C. § 441a 2 U.S.C. § 441b	
24		2 U.S.C. § 441d	
25		11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(1))
26			,
27	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	None	
28			
29	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None	
30	I. INTRODUCTION		
31	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
32	This matter stems from allegation	ns that SaveAB.com for Ame	erica ("Corporation"), a non-
33	profit corporation, made a corporate contribution, via the use of its corporate name and logo,		

34 website, and e-mail list, to promote the 2010 congressional campaign of its founder, Ed Martin. 35 Specifically, the complainant alleges that in December 2009, a letter publicizing Mr. Martin's congressional candidacy was posted on the SaveAB.com website and disseminated to 36 approximately 40,000 individuals on the SaveAB.com e-mail list. Complainant alleges that this **37** . 38 communication constituted an in-kind corporate contribution to Ed Martin for Congress and 39 Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer ("Committee"), in violation of

3.70

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

l	2 U.S.C. § 441b(a), and that the failure to include a proper disclaimer on the website and e-mail

constitutes a violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441d.
 Ed Martin, on behalf of all of the respondents, denies the allegations. As discussed

below, Mr. Martin maintains that the website and the mailing list used for the communication

belonged to specific individuals associated with an unincorporated grass roots movement. Mr.

Martin claims that although he formed the corporation to undertake additional activities in

support of that movement, the corporation was never used for any activities as a successor to the

8 unincorporated movement, and never owned any assets. Further, the corporation was dissolved

in March 2009, several months befere Mr. Martin became a federal candidate, and approximately

nine months before the communication at issue in this matter.

As set forth below, we recommend the Commission find no reason to believe that SaveAB.com, Ed Martin, or the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). Further, to the extent that the use of the website and e-mail list could be viewed as contributions to the Committee by someone other than Mr. Martin, and to the extent such contributions may have had a value greater than the applicable \$2,400 contribution limit, we recommend that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss any possible violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a. We also recommend that the Commission discretion any possible violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 434 and 441d by the Committee, and send a sentionary latter.

II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

A. Factual Background

21 Ed Martin was the Republican nominee for the U.S. House of Representatives from

22 Missouri's Third Congressional District for the 2010 election cycle. Mr. Martin filed his

- 1 Statement of Candidacy, designating Ed Martin for Congress as his principal campaign
- 2 committee, on July 21, 2009.1
- 3 During the summer of 2008, prior to his 2010 candidacy, Mr. Martin started a grassroots
- 4 movement to oppose the impending sale of Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. to a foreign
- 5 company. Various individuals within the movement used their own funds to buy a domain name
- 6 and set up a website (www.SaveAB.com), which was used to gather signatures for an on-line
- 7 petition and create a that of supporters' e-mail addresses. 2 Rosponse at 1. On July 3, 2000, in
- 8 response to the movement's rapid growth and apparent need for financial structure in terms of
- 9 future activities, Mr. Martin founded a non-profit corporation, SaveAB.com for America.
- 10 Response at 2. However, about two weeks later, on July 14, 2008, it was announced that
- 11 Anheuser-Busch would be sold to the foreign company. The sale became final in November
- 12 2008. Although the movement was active during the summer of 2008, it had no activity
- 13 following the July 2008 announcement of the sale. See May 31, 2011, Response Clarification
- 14 ("Clarification") at 2. The Corporation dissolved on March 31, 2009, and the
- 15 <u>www.SaveAB.com</u> website was deactivated. Complaint Exhibits B and D; Response
- 16 Attachment 1.
- During the year after the sale of Aminerson-Busch, the former leaders of the SaveAB:com
- 18 movement continued to receive requests for comments and inquiries about leading possible
- boycotts of, or protests against, the foreign-owned Anheuser-Busch. Clarification at 1.

¹ Ber. Martin has remettly filted his Statement of Candidacy to run for U.S. Semete in 2012; henceus, this matter involves his 2010 candidacy.

Although the response identifies Mike Smith of Miken Toxinologies as the individual who set up and continually maintained the website, it does not identify the individual who purchased the domain name. A printout of domain name search results, attached to the complaint as Exhibit C, shows that www.saveab.com was registered to Scott Leiendecker as of January 14, 2010.

Thie Articles of Dissolution list the dissolution date as December 17, 2008; however, Mr. Martin did not file the Articles of Dissolution and Termination with the Missouri Secretary of State until March 31, 2009.

28

According to Mr. Martin, the number of these inquiries began to increase as the one-year 1 anniversary of the Anheuser-Busch sale approached in late 2009. Id. In December 2009, 2 Mr. Martin, in conjunction with other individuals associated with the SaveAB.com movement, 3 4 reactivated the website. Complaint Exhibit B; Response at 2; Clarification at 1, 2. When the website went live again, it featured a single letter lamenting the sale of Anheuser-Busch, 5 6 thanking businesses who supported the movement, and informing supporters of Mr. Martin's 7 House candidacy. Notwithstanding the suggestion in the Response and Clarification about the 8 need to respond to inquiries shout SavaAB.com's position on purported plans to engage in a 9 boycott or protest, the letter on the website makes no mention of these topics. The portion of the 10 letter regarding Mr. Martin's congressional campaign stated: 11 Also, one of the original Saveab.com founders, Ed Martin, has decided to take this fighting spirit to Congress. He is ranning for Congress in the Third 12 Congressional District right here in Missouri - this district includes the old 13 headquarters of A-B down on Postalozzi Street. (Third Congressional District 14 consists of St. Louis City, St. Louis County, Jefferson County and St. 15 16 Genevieve)[.] 17 Ed Martin is running, as he said, to "fight for jobs, for the future and for the 18 19 American dream - which is all in jeopardy if we don't stop shipping our jobs to Mexico and India and running up our debt to China!" 20 21 22 If you are interested in finding out more, or joining Ed Martin in this endeavor, 23 visit his website TODAY: 24 The letter then linked to a page on the Committee's website titled, "Welcome SaveAB.com Friends." This page invited visitors to sign up for e-mail updates, volunteer to help, and 25 "consider a financial contribution." Complaint Exhibit E. The letter was also e-mailed, along 26

with the link to the Committee's website, from a www, SaveAB.com e-mail account to

SaveAB.com supporters. Although the letter claims to be reaching 85,000 supporters, both the

MUR 6425 (Ed Martin, et al)
First General Counsel's Report

- 1 complaint and various news articles report that the e-mail was sent to 40,000 supporters. See,
- 2 e.g., Complaint Exhibit B.
- 3 Complainant alleges that the December 2009 <u>www.SaveAB.com</u> website and e-mail
- 4 constitute prohibited corporate contributions in the form of SaveAB.com for America's corporate
- 5 name, logo, website, and mailing list, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). Complainant further
- 6 alleges that Mr. Martin and the Committee failed to include proper disclaimers on the website
- 7 and p-namil, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a).
- 8 Mr. Martin, on behalf of all of the respondents, expressly denies the allegations. Mr.
- 9 Martin asserts that the short-lived corporation never held any assets, made any purchases, or
- 10 conducted any organizational meetings. Response at 2; Clarification at 1. Rather, the activity of
- 11 the SaveAB.com movement was paid for out-of-pocket by the individuals associated with it, and,
- 12 upon dissolution, "anything that the participants created or gathered during the grassroots effort –
- whether information, photographs, placard [sic], shirts, etc. . . . was kept by each person
- individually." Clarification at 1. Specifically, the website and the data it collected were
- 15 continually maintained by Mike Smith, the same individual who set up the website at the
- beginning of the movement, much as the telephone system was continually maintained by
- 17 Mr. Mastine Response at 1; Clarification at 1. An the Corporation held no assets, it did not have
- any assets to distribute when it dissolved. Response at 2.
- Mr. Martin also asserts that the decision to greate the December 2009 letter was primarily
- 20 his, and not that of any legal entity. Clarification at 1-2. Although he does not recall other
- 21 persons with whom he discussed the decision, Mr. Martin "feel[s] certain" that he spoke with
- 22 Mr. Smith because "[he] was the operator of the website and e-mail system." Clarification at 1.
- 23 He further explains that the letter was in response to multiple requests for interviews and

6

- 1 statements on the one-year anniversary of the Anheuser-Busch sale, and was meant to thank
- 2 supporters and "end the discussion and questions" about the SaveAB.com effort. Id. There is no
- 3 indication from the response that any marginal costs were incurred or funds disbursed to place
- 4 the letter on the SaveAB.com website or to send the accompanying e-mail.

B. Legal Analysis

1. Alleged Corporate Contributions

A contribution is any gift, subscription, loss, advance, or anything of value made by any

- 8 person for the purpose of influencing any election for federal effice. 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(a)(1).
- 9 Commission regulations define "anything of value" to include in-kind contributions: the
- 10 provision of goods or services without charge or at a charge that is less than the usual and normal
- 11 charge. 11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)(1).

12 The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), prohibits

- corporations from making contributions from their general treasury funds in connection with any
- election of any candidate for federal office. 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). A candidate, political
- committee, or other person is prohibited from knowingly accepting or receiving any corporate
- 16 contribution. Id.

17 The sussets that allegedly constitute the in-kind corporate contribution – that SaveAB.com

18 name and logo, domain name, and e-mail list – were developed while SaveAB.com was a

19 grassroots movement, before it incorporated, Mr. Martin explains, for example, that two

20 individuals bought a domain name and set up a website at the time the movement was founded.

On May 16, 2011, the Eighth Circuit Court of Aggreals, whose jurisdiction includes Mr. Martin's congressional district, upheld a federal district court decision denying a request for a preliminary injunction against a ban on corporate contributions to state candidates that is identical to 2 U.S.C. § 441b. Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life v. Swanson, 640 F.3d 304 (8th Cir. 2011). This decision is in contrast with a recent ruling by a federal district court judge in Virginia that the 2 U.S.C. § 441b ban on corporate contributions to federal candidates is unconstitutional. United States v. Danielczyk., 1:11-cr-00085 JCC (E.D. Va. May 26, 2011). The Department of Justice filed a notice of appeal to the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals on June 16, 2011.

Furthermore, it appears that the Corporation did nothing to take control of these assets in the two

2 weeks it was operational: Mr. Martin specifically asserts that the Corporation never held any

3 assets and therefore had no assets to distribute upon dissolution. Rather, these items were

continually maintained by the individuals who created them. Moreover, the Corporation

5 officially dissolved four months before Mr. Martin became a candidate and eight months before

his decision to send an e-mail to the movement's supporters. That is, the Corporation did not

exist at any time that the assets could have been transferred to Mr. Martin in his capacity as an

agent of the Committee. Accordingly, we recommend the Commission find no reason to believe

that Ed Martin, Ed Martin for Congress, or SaveAB.com for America violated 2 U.S.C.

10 § 441b(a).

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2. Potential Excessive Contribution

Although the provision of the assets does not constitute a corporate contribution, it still arguably constitutes an in-kind contribution to the Committee. In accepting the assets at the time he was a federal candidate and using them to endorse his federal candidacy, Mr. Martin must be viewed as acting as an agent of the Committee.⁵ Even if there were a bona fide non-campaign-related reason to contact the former supporters of the SaveAB.com movement, this communication (which required the use of the webnite and mailing list) amsuunced Mr. Martin's candidacy to potential supporters, and was therefore of vasce to the Committee and in consecution with a federal election.

However, it is not clear which person or persons owned the assets at the time of the December 2009 communication, and thus made the contribution. Individual members associated with the SaveAB.com movement set up and continually maintained the assets using their own

Any candidate who receives a contribution in connection with his or her campaign shall be considered as having received such contribution as an agent of his or her authorized committee. 11 C.F.R. § 101.2.

MUR 6425 (Ed Martin, et al) First General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 11

- 1 funds; for example, Mr. Smith operated the website and Mr. Martin provided the telephone
- 2 system. If any individual other than Mr. Martin made a contribution of more than \$2,400 for
- 3 either Mr. Martin's primary or general election campaign, it would violate the applicable
- 4 contribution limit. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A).

claim to the use of time website and mailing list.

However, it appears that these assets may have been available to any of the founders of the movement, and were not necessarily pessessed by one individual. Accordingly, Mr. Martin, who as the maddate was not subject to the \$2,400 contribution limit, may have had a valid

The value of these assets is also difficult to determine. The Commission has previously considered corporate names and trademarks to be things of value, so the provision of the corporate name and logo here appears to constitute a contribution. See MUR 6322 (Sowers for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis (citing MURs 6110 (Obama Victory Fund) and 5578 (Wetterling for Congress)). However, while a widely-recognized trademarked corporate name and logo may have significant value, see, e.g., MUR 4340 (Tweezerman), the value of a short-lived organization's un-trademarked name and logo, particularly one that was unsuccessful in its primary objective, is less clear. Similarly, us the value of a domain name depends at least in part on the value of the myambaticut's name, espassatly where the two are identical, the value of the www.SaveAB.com domain name is difficult to ascertain. The Commission has previously found that an organization's e-mail list is a thing of value, see, e.g., MURs 5876 (Bowman for Congress) and 6127 (Obama for America), and has used varying formulas to determine that value. While the value of the e-mail list could be estimated as being in excess of the \$2,400 contribution limit, see fn 6, the actual value of this particular list is unknown at this time.

The value of e-mail lists may vary widely based on criteria used to develop the list, the age of the list, and whether the list has been maintained/updated since its creation. In MUR 5876, the Commission estimated the value

Given the difficulty in ascertaining the value of these assets, and considering that the amount of any contribution is relatively small, further use of Commission resources for an investigation is not warranted. Accordingly, we recommend the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss any possible violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a). See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). We similarly recommend that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss any violations of 2 U.S.C. § 434 for the Committee's failure to report the receipt of any in-kind contribution, and mark a cautionary letter to Ed Martin for Congress.

3. <u>Alleged Disclaimer Violations</u>

The Act, as implemented through Commission regulations, requires that e-mail of more than 500 substantially similar communications include a disclaimer when sent by a political committee. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a); 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(1). Additionally, disclaimers must be included on all internet websites of political committees that are available to the general public.

Id. If the communication is authorized by the candidate, his committee, or the agent of either, but is paid for by any other person, the disclaimer must clearly state that the communication is paid for by such other person and authorized by such candidate, committee, or agent.

2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(2); 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(2).

The December 2009 latter sent under the SaveAB.com logo was initiated and canaded primarily by Mr. Martin, and appears to have been posted on the website and e-mailed to 40,000 recipients. Mr. Martin used Mr. Smith's access to and control over the e-mail list to disseminate the e-mail. Mr. Martin, who is an agent of the Committee, authorized the e-mail and the use of

of a non-profit organization's mailing list as between \$65 and \$125 per thousand names. In MUR 6127, the Commission valued the corporation's mailing list at \$150 per thousand names. Based on these estimates, the value of a 40,000-person mailing list could be in excess of the \$2,400 limit.

1 the Committee's logo in the e-mails with the link to the Committee's website. It appears,

2 however, that Mr. Smith paid whatever costs were associated with the dissemination of the e-

3 mail. Accordingly, the e-mail should have included a disclaimer stating that it was paid for by

4 Mr. Smith and authorized by Ed Martin for Congress.

Similarly, Mr. Martin primarily decided to reactivate the www.SaveAB.com website in December 2009. Given that the letter referenced Mr. Martin's federal carididacy, he can be viewed as asting as an agent of the Committee. At that time, however, the website was opprased by Mr. Smith, and the domain name was owned by Mr. Leisendecker. Accordingly, the website should have included a disclaimer stating that the communication was paid for by Mr. Smith and Mr. Leiendecker and authorized by Ed Martin for Congress.

It thus appears that Ed Martin for Congress violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d by failing to include appropriate disclaimers on the website and e-mail, over which Mr. Martin, as its agent, had some measure of control. However, while the communications did not contain the language required by the statute, they did include identifying information. The communications were sent under the heading of SaveAB.com, of which both Mr. Smith and Mr. Leiendecker were former leaders. Similarly, the communications included the Committee's logo, which clearly stated "Ed Martin for Congress," as the link to the campaign melasins. Given that the communications included identifying information, and considering the ministral costs associated with sending the e-mail and reactivating a website, we recommend the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441d and send Ed Martin for Congress a cautionary letter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

6·

 MUR 6425 (Ed Martin, et al) First General Counsel's Report Page 11 of 11

III. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 1. Find no reason to believe that Ed Martin, Ed Martin for Congress and Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer, and SaveAB.com for America violatus 2 U.S.C. § 441h(a).
- 2. Dismiss, as a matter of prosecutorial discretion, any violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a).
- 3. Dismiss, as a matter of prosecutorial discretion, any violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434 by Ed Martin for Congress and Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer, and send a cautionary letter.
- 4. Dismiss, as a matter of prosecutorial discretion, any violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441d by Ed Martin for Congress and Randall J. McArthur, in his official capacity as treasurer, and sand a cautionary letter.
- 5. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analyses.
- 6. Approve the appropriate letters.
- 7. Close the file.

P. Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel

6/20/11 Date

BY:

Stephen Gura

Deputy Associate General Counsel

Mark D. Shonkwiler Assistant General Counsel

Margaret Ritzert

Attorney

Attachments:

1. December 2009 Letter



IT'S NOT JUST A ST. LOUIS BRAND." IT'S AN AMERICAN BRAND.

THE OFFICIAL WEBSITE FOR SAVE AB.COM



Dear Fellow SaveAB.com supporter.

We told you so."

We have a right to want to say this!

We are the nearly 85,000 trien and woman strong from ross the country who signed up to try to stop the sale of user-Busch to a fereign company RECAUSE we imow at would happen:

Massive layoffs Cuts in benefits for watters

Movement of jobs from our homelowns to foreign

capitals (and to New York City)
Losses by the many civic groups and
associations that A-B supported.

All of this came true. It is sad and it makes many of us

Still, the luture in America is bright but we need to continue to find the right enuses in fight for We had gridel pathers/businesses help us on our light - including Mikeri Technologies. Al Hrabosky's Ballbark Scioon, The Goodson Co. and the Carpenters and Leborers' of St. Louis. These lend athers declarate are support and our attention. Please belo them.

Also, one of the original Savash com founders: Ed Mertin, has decided to take this fighting spirit to Congress. He is running for Congress in the Third Congressional District right here in Missouri — this district includes the old ngar nere in mission — uns unsure tremos are ord headquarters of A-B down on Pestalazzi Street. (Third Congressional District countries of St. Louis City, St. Louis County, Jefferson County and St. Genevieve)

Ed Martin is reming; as he says, to fight for jobs, for the future and for the American dream — which is all in jeopard; if we don't stop shipping our jobs to Mexico and India and running up our debt to Chirist."

If you are interested in finding out more, or joining Ed Martin in this endeavor, visit his website TODAY:



"We told you so." We, the supporters of SaveAB.com hav a right to say it ... but it's not satisfying, it's sad, instead, let's say proudly "We have not yet begun to light!"

Founders of SaveAB,com

ered by <u>Miken Tech</u>

Attachment	1	•
Page	1	of _1_